Guided surgery has been around for a long time. However, very few dentists in the UK are placing implants via the use of a guided surgical procedure. The reasons for this are multiple, ranging from dentists not wanting to, or not having confidence in the procedures, the increased costs of guide fabrication and the time delay and extra appointments needed to obtain a fully working and reliable surgical guide.

In this case study I shall be demonstrating an in-house manufactured surgical guide using the CEREC AC BluCam. These guides do not require any impressions to be sent to a third party and can be made rather cheaply in the surgery within around 30 minutes. The guide can then be used in conjunction with specific drill keys, which are compatible with the guided surgical drill sets from all leading implant manufacturers. In this particular case the Astra/Dentsply Implants Facilitate system was used to place the implant. Once the implant was osseointegrated the final restoration was fabricated chairside using the CEREC milling machine and an Ivoclar Vivadent e.max block.

Case Study
This young lady had lost her LL6 a few years ago and wanted an implant solution. Her medical history was clear and she had a mildly restored dentition with no current dental pathology. Her BPE scores were low, with excellent oral hygiene.

The patient was scanned using the Sirona AC BluCam and a proposal for the missing LL6 was created. A Galileos collimated lower jaw CBCT scan was taken with a CEREC Guide reference body set in thermoplastic over the edentulous area. The reference body is identified within the software and a virtual implant placement along with the CEREC crown proposal is all imported into the software. This allows the clinician to virtually place the implant, with reference to the ideal final crown position. In this case, it was deemed that a screw-retained restoration would be desirable; hence the screw access hole was positioned through the centre of the crown.

Once the implant position was decided, the information is ported over into the CEREC software and using a CEREC Guide Mill Block a drill body is milled by the MCXL milling machine. Once this has been milled it will lock tightly into the thermoplastic drilling template. The surgical guide is now complete and can be used on the patient.

In this particular case an Astra 4.0 x 11mm TX implant was placed using the surgical guide. The patient is prepared using a standard sterile protocol and the area anaesthetised as one would for a regular
implant placement. The surgical guide snaps firmly over the existing teeth, expanding over and undercut, becoming a very stable platform to drill through. The Astra Facilitate soft tissue punch is used to remove the overlying soft tissue, and a standard drilling protocol using the Sirona drill keys is used.

A high primary stability of 40Ncm was obtained, with a 4mm healing abutment placed immediately. The patient healed with no pain, no swelling and no discomfort. The post op LCPA corresponds well with the pre-surgical planning with an ideal angulation for a screw-retained crown. After two months of healing a fixture level open-tray impression was taken and cast up using an Astra Tech replica. A standard metal abutment was inserted into the replica and cut back by 3mm from the occlusal table. This was then powdered and scanned using the Ac BluCam and an Ivoclar e.max CAD C14 block milled. The CEREC 4.2 software was instructed to mill a hole that corresponds to the screw insertion path on the abutment. This is finalised using a high speed diamond bur with copious irrigation. The crown is glazed and sintered, allowed to cool and bonded to the abutment using Vario link II. The final crown can be screwed directly into the implant and a final check for contacts and occlusion is made.
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**Why Choose Gendex?**

**Upgradability**
- OPG units can be upgraded to 4cm or 8cm diameter CBCT and/or CEPH units at any time.

**Quality**
- High Performance Detectors for very high resolution 2D and 3D Scans.

**Accuracy**
- Smart Scout Technology for exact positioning of the CBCT scans to the patient’s anatomy.

**Ease of use**
- Push-button selection of the correct scan protocol and area of interest for both 2D and 3D scans.

**Integration**
- Easy workflow from existing patient records by linking the DP-700 into your practice management system.
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**Why Choose IDT Dental Products Ltd?**

**Experience**
- Over 7 years of experience in supplying and supporting CBCT machines.

**Dedication**
- Supplying, installing, maintaining and supporting hardware and software for Dental CBCT scanners is our core business.

**Expertise**
- Our factory trained engineers look after your CBCT equipment starting before installation and continuing throughout its working life.

**Referrals**
- We can help you to generate referrals for your i-CAT or Gendex CBCT machine.
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Tel. +44 (0)20 8600 3540  Email: info@ctscan.co.uk

Gendex is a trademark of KaVo/Gendex Dental Systems, Lake Zurich, USA. Prices were correct at time of going to press.

Speak to a CBCT expert at IDT today to discuss your Gendex DP-700 options.
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Fixed Teeth in a Day: An interview with Dr Steven Bongard

Interviewer: Dr Mark Lin

Mark Lin (ML): What is ‘Fixed Teeth in a Day’?

Steven Bongard (SB): The Fixed Teeth in a Day protocol delivers same day, full arch rehabilitation utilising four or more implants to support fixed, immediately functional, aesthetic prostheses.

ML: How does Fixed Teeth in a Day compare with conventional rehabilitations?

SB: Fixed Teeth in a Day is less invasive, reduces morbidity, is less disruptive and less costly. The principal surgical difference is in the implant placement. Posterior maxilla implants are placed on an angle, just anterior to the maxillary sinus, into the denser bone of the pre-maxilla, avoiding the need for sinus augmentation while still enabling a shortened posterior cantilever. In the mandible, implants are placed between the mental foramina, angled in the posterior bone, and to allow the use of longer implants where there is limited vertical bone.

ML: Many fear that tilted implants will cause problems with bone reaction or prosthetics. How do you respond?

SB: Our tilting implants are rigidly connected to other implants, creating a totally different force dynamic compared to a single off-angle implant. We have not seen any significant difference in bone reaction.

ML: How do differences in bone density affect your approach?

SB: Our surgical protocols are designed to maximise predictable primary stability. In the maxilla the implants are angled to avoid the less dense posterior bone. The pre-maxilla generally has fairly dense, compatible quality bone to that of the intra-foramina region of the mandible. We haven’t seen any significant difference in survival rates for either arch.

ML: What are the minimum clinical prerequisites for Fixed Teeth in a Day with immediate loading?

SB: Ideally, minimum requirements are 5mm of bone width on peri-implant bone loss. A Swedish five-year longitudinal study (Koutouzis and Wennstrom 2007) found that implant inclination has no effect on peri-implant bone loss. Another five-year study found a 98 per cent success rate in the maxilla and a 100 per cent in the mandible (Krekmanov et al International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants 2000). A 1999 study (Implant Treatment without bone grafting in severely resorbed edentulous maxillae; Mattson et al International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery) showed a high success/survival rate for tilted implants - including those placed nearly 20 years ago. Simulation studies also report favourable biomechanics.

ML: How do you decide on the number of implants you use?

SB: Some clinics use only four implants; we find that softer bone may require up to six implants to limit early micromovement. When planning each case we always consider bone quality and the anticipated edentate force generated by the opposing arch.

ML: Traditionalists prefer axial loading of the implants, while Fixed Teeth in a Day calls for tilted implants. How do you respond?

SB: We tilt the implants to shorten cantilevers and improve force distribution; to eliminate the need for sinus augmentation; to allow engagement of the denser anterior bone, and to allow the use of longer implants where there is limited vertical bone.

ML: What are the minimum clinical prerequisites for Fixed Teeth in a Day with immediate loading?
and 10 mm of bone height between the canines in the maxilla, and 5 mm of bone width and 8 mm of bone height intraoramally in the mandible. For patients with significantly less bone we are working on protocol modifications which are already showing favourable short term outcomes. For immediate loading we need at least 55 Ncm of initial stabilisation on at least four implants in both mandible and maxilla.

ML: What is the patient’s post-op advice?
SB: A softer foods diet for the first three months. At the two-week appointment we introduce a Waterpik to the hygiene regimen. We ourselves pay meticulous attention to the occlusal scheme and adjust it using the T-scan system at two and eight weeks.

ML: What type of occlusal scheme are you seeking?
SB: Our protocols require bilateral, simultaneous, equal intensity posterior contacts in the maximal intercuspation position (MIP). We try to avoid contact in the cantilever portion and premature contacts.

ML: This sounds like a mutually protected occlusal scheme where when the teeth are in MIP there are posterior, equal intensity, simultaneous contacts with little or no contacts to the anterior teeth.
SB: In fact our protocols demand the front and back teeth contribute equally to MIP.

ML: What if the patient presents with existing dentition?
SB: More than 60 per cent of our cases present with failing teeth. We try to reduce the number of operations by extracting the teeth, immediately placing the implants, and immediately loading them with the Fixed Teeth in a Day all acrylic transitional prosthesis. Provided the initial stabilisation parameters are met,
we achieve the same success rate as for edentulous cases.

ML: What types of prostheses are you using?
SB: The transitional unit is an all-acrylic, screw-retained, fixed, provisional prosthesis. Our final restoration is a hybrid comprising a screw-retained, milled, titanium bar with premium acrylic teeth.

ML: What are your thoughts on porcelain teeth?
SB: We prefer acrylic for its predictability and ease of repair, and our patients are happy with the aesthetics of high-end acrylic teeth.

ML: What aftercare and recall do you recommend?
SB: Aftercare measures depend on each patient’s commitment to plaque control. Recall appointments, usually at the referring practice, check the implants, occlusion, and tissue surfaces.

ML: Are there any special risk factors for Fixed Teeth in a Day treatment?
SB: The risk factors are similar to those for other implant protocols, typically smoking, poorly controlled diabetes, parafunction, poor oral hygiene etc.

ML: How do the patients react to Fixed Teeth in a Day?
SB: We are able to provide 95 per cent of cases with an immediate fixed transitional prosthesis, and I have never performed treatment with has delivered such consistently high levels of patient satisfaction.

For more information on how Fixed Teeth in a Day referrals can benefit your practice, call United Smile Centres on 0800 8 49 49 59, email info@unitedsmilecentres.co.uk, or visit www.unitedsmilecentres.co.uk
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British Products

For more information on how Fixed Teeth in a Day referrals can benefit your practice, call United Smile Centres on 0800 8 49 49 59, email info@unitedsmilecentres.co.uk, or visit www.unitedsmilecentres.co.uk
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**Short Implant Placement Does Not Require Bone Augmentation**

Armin Nedjat discusses short implants

Conventional dental implantation concepts have been questioned. For several years, short implants have been inserted without the need of a bone augmentation. An implant is considered as short if its thread has a length of less than 10mm. Short Champions® implants are now available as well. The one-piece Champions® are available in lengths including 8mm and 6mm. The two-piece Champions® (R)Evolution implants are available in lengths including 8mm and 6.5mm. Results from a recent study and the 97.5 per cent success rate of short implants have shown that the short implants are as beneficial as those with several implant systems including the minimally invasive method as an alternative therapy in comparison with the conventional implant treatments.

Some theses, which were considered as accepted truths in the 80s, have now proven controversial. For instance, studies have shown that it is not absolutely necessary to place implants with a length of 12mm and a diameter of 4.7mm! In addition, the dogma that there must be a large amount of titanium in bone has been questioned. Since according to the conventional Implantology theory, the implant length should be 2:1 in relation to the length of the crown, I had previously doubted the efficiency of the short implants and had not planned to insert a short implant such as a 6mm long implant. However, from our experiences as dentists and current scientific studies, these theses against short implants are proven wrong.

Therapy with short implants does not necessarily require bone augmentation, and it is beneficial and also affordable. In this way, the crestal bone site will be converted into biological distraction can be traumatic for the patient and can increase health risks. Therefore, our thesis is: “the best augmentation is no augmentation at all!” In addition, it is very important to inform the patient about all treatment approaches, including the minimally invasive method as an alternative therapy in comparison with the conventional implant treatments.
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Some theses, which were considered as accepted truths in the 80s, have now proven controversial. For instance, studies have shown that it is not absolutely necessary to place implants with a length of 12mm and a diameter of 4.7mm! In addition, the dogma that there must be a large amount of titanium in bone has been questioned. Since according to the conventional Implantology theory, the implant length should be 2:1 in relation to the length of the crown, I had previously doubted the efficiency of the short implants and had not planned to insert a short implant such as a 6mm long implant. However, from our experiences as dentists and current scientific studies, these theses against short implants are proven wrong.
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Fig 7: Illustration of the internal sinus lift and the enlarged 3D X-ray image: First, you drill with the yellow Champions® triangular drill in the bicortical bone. Then, you lift the bone flap and the membrane with the Ø 3.0 mm condenser. After this step and also after the drilling procedure, you check the bone cavity quality with the BCC® (Bone Cavity Check) probe. After some weeks or months, autologous bone around the implant will be solid and regenerated.
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Figs 18-24
In the first session, a 4mm long and a 4.5mm diameter Champion® implant was placed in region 25 according to the MEMRI method. The MEMRI method is quite nontraumatic for the patient and ensures periost protection. In this case, primary stability was achieved at a torque of 60Nm. We temporarily fixed the temporary restoration in the proxim- al surfaces of the adjacent teeth. The temporary restoration was placed with composite. Then, the final crown was cemented and fit eight weeks following implantation. The X-rays were taken a year after implantation.
Implant therapy has been an amazing breakthrough in restorative dentistry which has brought benefits to our patients. We are all aware of the high success rates of dental implants and that they will integrate given time. Over the past 10 years implant companies have been developing the new implant surfaces to increase the amount of bone to implant contact and to reduce the time needed for the implant to be loaded. I suppose the ultimate goal for dental implants would be to completely emulate a tooth/root. That is to achieve a true connective tissue attachment inserting into an implant surface thereby forming a true biological width with a junctional epithelium and connective tissue attachment protecting the bone. This has not been possible; Listgarten’s studies in the 1980’s showed that the connective tissue around an implant is parallel to the implant surface.

A good friend of mine, Ken Nicholson, who is an implant dentist, in Northern Ireland and the academic lead in the Institute for Postgraduate Dental Education at the University of Central Lancashire, introduced me to Biohorizons dental implant over three years ago. I have enjoyed using this implant system for three years now. Two years ago Biohorizons introduced a new implant Laser-lok. Biohorizons have been able to develop a true microthread, the top 2mm of the implant is prepared utilising a laser to threads which are 8 and 12microns apart. Professor Jack Ricci developed this laser technology in the 1990’s at New York University. He found that the microthreads could control the behaviour of the fibroblasts allowing the fibroblasts to orient themselves on the Laser-lok surface.

The Laser-lok surface has been shown to elicit a biological response that includes the inhibition of epithelial downgrowth and the attachment of connective tissue. It has been suggested that this physical attachment produces a biological seal around the implant that protects and maintains the bone(Nevins, M et al, International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry (IJPRD), vol.28, no.2, 2008)(Figure 1). Recently a study by Nevins et al IJPRD vol.30, no.5, 2010 has shown the use of Laser-lok abutments to create a biological seal. They showed a connective tissue attachment to the Laser-lok abutment which was above the implant abutment connection (Fig 2). The crestal bone levels was also seen to be higher than in standard abutments (Fig 5).

A 51-year-old lady was referred to me to by her dentist. She had been suffering from abscesses from her upper anterior bridge (Fig 4) for some years. She had decided that she wanted the bridge removed and replaced with dental implants. The bridge and retaining roots were extracted and replaced with an upper partial denture. The healing was uneventful and the patient was satisfied with the outcome (Fig 7,8). Interestingly a long cone periapical of the final restorations and implants showed that the crestal bone had remodelled to the correct level dictated by the Laser-lok surface 12microns. You will note from the post-op radiographs the implants were placed at bone level (Fig 14). Another interesting point to make from this case is when I probed the peri-implant tissues you would expect the bone to be less than 1 mm away from the post-op radiographs (Fig 12). The implants were restored with a screw retained U1 crown and a screw retained U12 bridge (Fig 15). Interestingly a long cone periapical of the final restorations and implants showed that the crestal bone had remodelled to the correct level dictated by the Laser-lok surface 12microns. You will note from the post-op radiographs the implants were placed at bone level (Fig 14). Another interesting point to make from this case is when I probed the peri-implant tissues you would expect the bone to be less than 1 mm away from the tip of the probe on a standard implant due to the parallel fibres of the connective tissue. Yet when the radiograph was taken the bone was further way.
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ADI brings together world implant experts at 2013 Congress

• International implant-focused Congress
• 1 – 3 May 2013, in Manchester
• Industry networking essential event

‘How long do implants last?’ will be the focus of the Association of Dental Implantology’s (ADI) 2013 Congress. The UK’s largest implant association is hosting their biennial Congress from 1 – 3 May 2013 in Manchester, focusing on the complications, risk management and prognosis of implant treatment.

Delegates will be able to participate in lectures from internationally acclaimed speakers, visit the specialist implant exhibition and network with colleagues from the global implant industry.

ADI President Professor Cemal Ucer says, “Following decades of research and development, when patient demand and expectations are rising, we should address: what are the real challenges and problems facing us today that affect the success and longevity of implant treatment? I am confident that – the ADI 2013 Team Congress will answer this important question.”

The presentations will cover the full spectrum of topics relevant to anybody who is involved with dental implantology or is planning to enter the field. The Congress will feature lectures on the complete dental implant process, from consultation, placement and after-care to associated risks and complications. It will also include sessions on many specific aspects involved in the running of a dental implant service, such as legal considerations and managing patient expectations.

The Congress exhibition, open over the two days, will give delegates the chance to see the latest products, learn up-to-date techniques and meet industry leaders.

The ADI 2013 Team Congress, takes place 1 – 3 May at the Manchester Central Convention Complex. Visit www.adi.org.uk/congress2013 for the full programme and to confirm your registration.

To book, visit: www.adi.org.uk/congress2013

CONGRESS FEES FROM:
£555 for member Clinicians
£305 for member Technicians
£175 for member Hygienists, Therapists, Nurses, Practice Managers, Students and additional member Technicians (Non-member rates available)

These fees will increase after the 28th February 2013

SPEAKERS INCLUDE:

Tomas Albrektsson Sweden
Urs Braegger Switzerland
Christian Coachman France
Andrew Dawood UK
Ashok Sethi UK
Maria Retzepi UK
Tara Renton UK
Zeev Ormianer Israel
Peter Moy USA
Nikos Mandas UK
German Gallucci USA
Nikos Donos UK
Andrew Coombe UK
Tara Renton UK
Maria Retzepi UK
Ashok Sethi UK
Jon Suzuki USA
Hom-Lay Wang USA

Something for the whole implant team...

with a Plenary, Technicians’ and Team programme

25th Anniversary Team Congress
1-3 May 2013, Manchester

• Implant-focused trade exhibition
• Pre-Congress sessions
• ADI Oscars Evening: 3-course meal and entertainment

How long do implants last?
Complications, risk management and prognosis
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